



Town of Newmarket Council Information Package

Index of Attachments

Circulation Date: April 13, 2018

Note: If a Member of Council wishes to include any of the enclosed documents on a future Council or Committee of the Whole agenda, please email Legislative Services at clerks@newmarket.ca.

General Correspondence Items

1. **Funding for Libraries in 2018 Budget**
Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport
March 28, 2018
2. **Resolution re: Landfill Sites**
Township of Killaloe, Hagarty and Richards
April 3, 2018
3. **Resolution re: Inclusionary Zoning**
City of Toronto
February 1, 2018
4. **Resolution re: Bill C-71**
Township of Baldwin
April 9, 2018

**Ministry of Tourism,
Culture and Sport**

Minister

9th Floor, Hearst Block
900 Bay Street
Toronto, ON M7A 2E1
Tel: 416 326-9326

**Ministère du Tourisme,
de la Culture et du Sport**

Ministre

9^e étage, édifice Hearst
900, rue Bay
Toronto (Ontario) M7A 2E1
Tél. : 416 326-9326



March 28, 2018

Dear stakeholder:

I am pleased to let you know that this week, in the [2018 Ontario Budget: A Plan for Care and Opportunity](#), our government committed funding to strengthen public libraries.

Public libraries play a valuable role in large urban centres and in rural, northern and First Nation communities across Ontario, and we recognize that they are central in providing access to new technology and digital resources.

That is why our government is making the most significant investment in public libraries in a generation. This investment includes:

- \$51 million over three years in annual increases to public library operating funding
- \$28 million over three years to create a provincial Digital Public Library so that all people in Ontario can access the information, education, and cultural resources they need to succeed.

This commitment aligns with the goals of [Ontario's Culture Strategy](#), which we launched in July 2016, to support our province's public and First Nation libraries as essential spaces for access to cultural experiences, technology, and community life.

Public libraries are vital community hubs, and this funding will ensure that they can continue to respond to the needs of their residents by building capacity in the sector and allowing public libraries to deliver innovative services, no matter the size of the community.

I look forward to providing more detail on this investment in the coming weeks.

With kind regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Daiene Vernile".

Daiene Vernile
Minister



Naturally Spirited

KILLALOE-HAGARTY-RICHARDS

TOWNSHIP OF KILLALOE, HAGARTY AND RICHARDS

Date: April 3, 2018

Resolution No.:

9

Moved By:

Ted R. C.

Seconded By:

John H. Jeffrey

WHEREAS municipal governments in Ontario do not have the right to approve landfill projects in their communities, but have authority for making decisions on all other types of development;

AND WHEREAS this out-dated policy allows private landfill operators to consult with local residents and municipal Councils, but essentially ignore them;

AND WHEREAS proposed Ontario legislation (Bill 139) will grant municipalities additional authority and autonomy to make decisions for their communities;

AND WHEREAS municipalities already have exclusive rights for approving casinos and nuclear waste facilities within their communities,

AND FURTHER that the province has recognized the value of municipal approval for the siting of power generation facilities;

AND WHEREAS the recent report from Ontario's Environmental Commissioner has found that Ontario has a garbage problem, particularly from Industrial, Commercial and

Institutional (ICI) waste generated within the City of Toronto, where diversion rates are as low as 15%;

AND UNLESS significant efforts are made to increase recycling and diversion rates, a new home for this Toronto garbage will need to be found, as landfill space is filling up quickly;

AND WHEREAS municipalities across Ontario are quietly being identified and targeted as potential landfill sites for future Toronto garbage by private landfill operators;

AND WHEREAS other communities should not be forced to take Toronto waste, as landfills can contaminate local watersheds, air quality, dramatically increase heavy truck traffic on community roads, and reduce the quality of life for local residents;

AND WHEREAS municipalities should be considered experts in waste management, as they are responsible for this within their own communities, and often have decades' worth of in-house expertise in managing waste, recycling, and diversion programs;

AND WHEREAS municipalities should have the exclusive right to approve or reject these projects, and assess whether the potential economic benefits are of sufficient value to offset any negative impacts and environmental concerns;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Township of Killaloe, Hagarty and Richards calls upon the Government of Ontario, and all political parties, to formally grant municipalities the authority to approve landfill projects in or adjacent to their communities, prior to June 2018;

AND THAT in the case of a two-tier municipality, the approval be required at both the upper-tier and affected lower-tier municipalities;

AND FURTHER THAT the Township of Killaloe, Hagarty and Richards encourage all other municipalities in Ontario to consider this motion calling for immediate provincial action.

Carried: ✓

Not Carried:

Planning and Growth Management Committee

| | | | | |
|--------|--|---------|--|----------|
| PG25.8 | | Amended | | Ward:All |
|--------|--|---------|--|----------|

Inclusionary Zoning

City Council Decision

City Council on January 31 and February 1, 2018, adopted the following:

1. City Council adopt the following and forward its decision to the Province as the City's response to the proposed inclusionary zoning regulations:

Recommendation 1: The proposed regulation should be amended to respect the fact that the municipality is in the best position to assess its needs and establish an inclusionary zoning framework that takes into consideration the full range of housing needs, market conditions, and aligned policy and program opportunities.

Recommendation 2: The proposed regulation should be amended to explicitly provide for the opportunity to create new affordable purpose-built rental housing.

Recommendation 3: The Province should work with the municipality to review current and projected rental housing development, including understanding the market profile of new rental housing projects. Further, the Province should consult with municipalities and other stakeholders on the merits of extending the regulation to any or all of the new purpose-built rental housing market.

Recommendation 4: The regulation should provide for municipal flexibility to set reasonable set aside rates based on the local context, planned environment, and relevant program funding and incentives, with the principle that a minimum of 10 percent of the units or gross floor area be established as the unit set aside rate.

Recommendation 5: The regulation should provide for municipal decision making for measures and incentives as municipalities are in the best position to respond to local market conditions.

Recommendation 6: The regulation should allow for inclusionary zoning to be used as part of a Community Planning Permit System area or in areas where the zoning has been updated to reflect minimum and maximum densities in accordance with provincial policy.

Recommendation 7: The regulations should enable municipalities to set an equity sharing model that is fair and equitable.

Recommendation 8: The regulations should enable municipalities to set priorities based on

local housing need and align program administration with administrative models that are already in place.

Recommendation 9: Off-site provisions in the regulation should be amended to allow for municipal flexibility in permitting 100 percent inclusionary zoning buildings.

2. City Council request the Province to amend the proposed inclusionary zoning regulations as set out in Part 1 above.
3. City Council request the Province to further consult municipalities and stakeholders on an appropriate and flexible implementation framework for inclusionary zoning prior to proclaiming the regulation.
4. City Council request all municipalities in Ontario and the Association of Municipalities of Ontario to consider supporting the City of Toronto's recommendations as set out in Part 1 above.

Committee Recommendations

The Planning and Growth Management Committee submits the item to City Council without recommendation.

Committee Decision Advice and Other Information

The Planning and Growth Management Committee:

1. Requested the Chair to call a special meeting of the Planning and Growth Management Committee on this matter prior to the City Council meeting scheduled for January 31, 2018.
2. Requested that, in the event that the Planning and Growth Management Committee is unable to meet prior to January 31, 2018, the Acting Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning report directly to City Council with a proposed response from the City of Toronto on the provincial proposal on Inclusionary Zoning legislation.
3. Requested City staff to continue discussions with the Province on changes to the draft regulations to address the City of Toronto's concerns.

Origin

(January 15, 2018) Letter from Councillor Gord Perks, Ward 14, Parkdale-High Park

Summary

The Ontario government has announced details of Inclusionary Zoning legislation that would give municipalities the ability to secure affordable housing in new residential developments through the use of inclusionary zoning. The deadline to submit comments on this proposed legislation is February 1, 2018.

Background Information (Committee)

(January 15, 2018) Letter from Councillor Gord Perks, Ward 14, Parkdale-High Park, on Inclusionary Zoning
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2018/pg/bqrd/backgroundfile-110817.pdf>

Background Information (City Council)

(January 25, 2018) Transmittal from the Planning and Growth Management Committee (PG25.8a)

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2018/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-111821.htm>)

Communications (City Council)

(January 28, 2018) Letter from Geoff Kettel and Cathie Macdonald, Co-Chairs, Federation of North Toronto Residents' Associations (CC.Supp.PG25.8.1)

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2018/cc/comm/communicationfile-78405.pdf>)

(January 30, 2018) Letter from Nancy Singer, Executive Director, Kehilla Residential Programme (CC.New.PG25.8.2)

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2018/cc/comm/communicationfile-78411.pdf>)

(February 1, 2018) Submission from Eileen Denny (CC.New.PG25.8.3)

(February 1, 2018) Submission from Eileen Denny (CC.New.PG25.8.4)

Speakers

Joy Connelly, Member, Homecoming Coalition

Sean Meagher, Executive Director, Social Planning Toronto



Mailed — 121-MP'S
April 10/18 22- Senator

The Corporation of the
TOWNSHIP OF BALDWIN

P.O. Box 7095, 11 Spooner Street
MCKERROW, ONTARIO
POP 1M0

TEL: (705) 869-0225 FAX: (705) 869-5049

April 9th 2018

Dear Members of Parliament, House of Commons,

In our absence from being able to speak in person on this matter of Bill C-71, I request that our esteemed colleagues from the Canadian Shooting Sports Association (CSSA) be allowed to speak on our behalf. These folks are well versed in the existing firearms legislation and licensing requirements in Canada and can easily explain to non-firearm's owners current legislation already in place and the rigorous criteria needed to obtain a Firearms License or PAL that is required before any person can purchase any firearm or any ammunition.

We have listened to both sides of the debate concerning bill C-71 and have also done extensive reading on the matter, reviewed the flawed statistics charts that single out the lowest year of crime in 40 years and use it as a base point for increase or decrease. Its unfortunate that the Federal Government and News Media do not realize what's contained in the currant legislation that is already in place and has been since the mid 1990's. Rather than create new legislation, why not spend the time and money in enforcing the laws that are on the books today that never really seems to matter as a deterrent to the criminal and gang element?

The RCMP daily checks all Firearms License holders (Commonly called Firearms License or PAL) in Canada for any wrong doing and that is more than sufficient without putting additional or duplicate checks in place.

Simply enforce the laws that are in place now without putting new ones in place.

We have heard numerous times that a Police Officer needs to know what firearms he may be facing each time he comes to a residence. That statement, if applied, creates a very false sense of security because if the answer came back and said “no firearms” and he/she proceeds with that thought in mind, and it just happens to be a criminal with illegal firearms, then what happens? However, the Police know better than that and always proceed with caution to any domestic dispute but the Media like to glamourize it as a selling point for any proposed new gun legislation.

The Conservation Officers (CO'S) in this province during a single hunting season encounter more law-abiding people with firearms than most police officers would in their entire career. Many of these encounters are often in very remote locations with no cell service. There are no issues with their checks due to the fact that the majority of all firearms owners are very responsible citizens. For those folks that are unsure of a “Conservation Officer's” duty, they are officers that work for the MNRF enforcing all the hunting and fishing laws in all parts of the province.

Much of our country was founded and built with the use of firearms first in the fur trade and then in the rebellions/uprisings in the 1700's and 1800's and then the wars.

Many Canadian families have a long list of service in the military in WW 1 and WW2 and Foreign Wars with many being left overseas at very young ages. These folks fought for some of the rights and the privileges that we have today.

Trapping has been and still is a way of life for generations in many families.

For many Ontarian's firearms are a part of their lifestyle and culture and heritage especially those living in the north and even to some extent those in the GTA.

I'm asking that you make a wise move and cancel Bill C-71 and simply enforce the legislation that is in place.

Thanks very much,

Sincerely,

**Mayor Vern Gorham,
Township of Baldwin.**

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF BALDWIN

MOVED BY:

David Fairbairn

DATE: April 9th, 2018

SECONDED BY:

B. McDowell

MOTION NO.: 18-39

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: The Township of Baldwin send the attached explanatory letter to all Municipalities in Ontario for their support via e-mail as well as by Canada Post to all MP'S and Senators that represent our Province of Ontario.

We don't need bill C-71 and it should be quashed without further ado.

Carried ✓

Defeated _____

Mayor *W*



| RECORDED VOTE | FOR | AGAINST |
|-----------------|-----|---------|
| Vern Gorham | ✓ | |
| David Fairbairn | ✓ | |
| Texas MacDonald | ✓ | |
| Ray Maltais | ✓ | |
| Bert McDowell | ✓ | |